

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

HEATHER DOREEN BENDICKSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

VROOM, INC., and ALLY FINANCIAL,  
INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05762-DGE

MINUTE ORDER REGARDING  
DISCOVERY DISPUTE

The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, United States District Judge David G. Estudillo:

Regarding the Disputed Discovery Issues filed by the parties on January 20, 2023 (Dkt. No. 51), the Court ORDERS as follows:

1. As to Item No. 1 Responses to Request for Production: Plaintiff SHALL inform Defendant of each document or category of documents they assert Defendants have not produced. Then, the parties SHALL meet and confer to discuss the allegedly deficient production of documents or categories of documents. If after the conference a dispute

1 remains, the parties SHALL file a joint status report identifying each document or  
2 category of documents that remain in dispute, and for each document or category of  
3 documents succinctly identify their respective positions as to why each document or  
4 category of documents have (or have not) been produced. The parties SHALL identify a  
5 separate and distinct position as to each document or category of documents. In other  
6 words, the parties are not to lump all matters into one bullet point. Each document or  
7 category of documents shall have a separate bullet point followed by each parties'  
8 position on that particular bullet point.

9 2. As to Item No. 2 the 30(b)(6) Depositions: the parties SHALL meet and confer to discuss  
10 all issues regarding the 30(b)(6) topics. If after the conference a dispute remains  
11 regarding the proposed 30(b)(6) topics, the parties SHALL include in the joint status  
12 report each proposed topic remaining in dispute, and for each topic succinctly identify  
13 their position as to why or why not the proposed 30(b)(6) topic is or is not an appropriate  
14 topic. The parties SHALL identify a separate and distinct position as to each proposed  
15 30(b)(6) topic. In other words, the parties are not to lump all topics into one bullet point.  
16 Each topic SHALL have a separate bullet point followed by each parties' position on that  
17 particular bullet point.


18 3. As to Item No. 4 the Privilege Log: the parties SHALL include with their joint status  
19 report a copy of the privilege log Defendant Vroom indicates it provided to Plaintiff on  
20 December 28, 2022.

21 4. The parties SHALL file the joint status report no later than 12:00 p.m., January 27, 2023  
22 for the Court to review. The joint status report shall be succinctly drafted in a bullet point  
23 format as it is meant to inform the Court of the general nature of each disputed matter and  
24

1 each parties' position on each item. The joint status report is not meant to be a legal  
2 memorandum.

3 Dated this 23rd day of January, 2023.

4  
5 The foregoing Minute Order authorized by THE HONORABLE DAVID G.  
6 ESTUDILLO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

7  
8   
9  
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 David G. Estudillo  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24